# Exhibit Q

THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT						
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS						
MARSHALL D	IVISION					
HEADWATER RESEARCH, LLC,	)					
	)					
Plaintiff,	)					
	)					
<b>v</b> s.	) Case No.					
	) 2:22-CV-00422-RG-RSP					
	)					
SAMSUNG ELECTRONIC CO., LTD	)					
AND SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS	)					
AMERICA, INC.,	)					
	)					
Defendants.	)					
	)					

REMOTE VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION

Via ZOOM of

ALIREZA RAISSINIA

December 15, 2023

9:02 A.M. PST

STENOGRAPHICALLY REPORTED BY: JO ANN LOSOYA, CSR, RPR, CRR LICENSE #: 084-002437

2 (Pages 2 to 5)

۷ (	Pages 2 to 5)					
		Page 2				Page 4
1	APPEARANCES (All participants appearing remotely)		1 2		INDEX OF EXHIBITS	
2 3	RUSS AUGUST & KABAT		3	EXHIBIT	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
4	REZA MIRZAIE JASON WIETHOLTER		4	Exhibit 1	LinkedIn Page of Ali	14
5	12424 Wilshire Blvd. 12th Floor		5	E 1	Raissinia	0.4
6	Los Angeles, California 90025 (310) 979-8278 rmirzaie@raklaw.com		7	Exhibit 2	European patent, Patent No. EP2215873	31
7	Appeared on behalf of Plaintiffs.		8	Exhibit 3	US Patent No. 8,856,798	39
8 9	FISH & RICHARDSON JARED HARTZMAN		9	Exhibit 4	Provisional Application No. 61/405,603	41
	THAD KODISH		11	Exhibit 5	Headwater Partners	58
10	100 Maine Avenue SW Washington, D.C. 20024		12	EXIIIDIC O	Consulting Agreement,	00
11	(202) 626-7754 hartzman@fr.cm		13		HW 00053593-604	
12	tkodish@fr.com		14	Exhibit 6	US Patent No. 9, 277, 433,	75
13	Appeared on behalf of the Samsung Defendants.		15		HW_00005741	
14	MORGAN FRANICH FREDKIN SIAMAS & KAYS		16	Exhibit 7	Consulting Agreement dated	94
15	DAVID KAYS		17		July 1, 2010, HW_00055178	
16	333 West San Carlos Street Suite 1050		18	Exhibit 8	US Provisional Patent	108
	San Jose, California 95110		19		Application No. 61/348,022	
17	(408)		20	Exhibit 9	US Provisional Patent	114
18 19	Appeared on behalf of the Deponent.		21		Application No. 61/206,354	
20	ALSO PRESENT:		22			
21 22	Greg Raleigh, Headwater Steve DeCanio, videographer		23		* * * *	
23	* * * *		24			
24 25	* * * * *		25			
		Page 3				Page 5
1	EXAMINATION		1	1	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are on the	record
2	Witness Page	e Line	2		remote video-recorded deposition	
3			3	Alireza Rais	·	
	ALIREZA RAISSINIA		4		Today is Friday, December 15,	2023.
4	D. H	, 7	5	The time is	now 9:02 a.m. Pacific time.	
5	By Mr. Hartzman	5 7	6		We are here in the matter of	
5	By Mr. Mirzaie 13	5 23	7	Headwater Re	esearch versus Samsung Electronic	;
6	by iii. iiii 2010	20	8	Company, Lin	nited.	
7			9		The court reporter is JoAnn L	osoya,
8	* * * *		10 the videographer is Steve DeCanio, and both are			are
9			11 representatives of Gregory Edwards Court Reporter.			orter.
10			12	12 All counsel will be noted on the		
11 12			13	stenographic	record.	
			14		The court reporter will pleas	е
13			15	administer t	the oath.	
					/w:.	
13 14 15			16		(Witness sworn at 9:03 a	
13 14 15 16			16 17		MR. HARTZMAN: This is Jared Hart	zman
13 14 15 16 17			16 17 18	from Fish &	MR. HARTZMAN: This is Jared Hart Richardson representing the Sams	zman ung
13 14 15 16 17 18			16 17 18 19	from Fish & defendants.	MR. HARTZMAN: This is Jared Hart	zman ung
13 14 15 16 17			16 17 18 19 20	from Fish & defendants. Kodish.	MR. HARTZMAN: This is Jared Hart Richardson representing the Sams And with me today is my colleag	zman ung ue Thad
13 14 15 16 17 18 19			16 17 18 19 20 21	from Fish & defendants. Kodish.	MR. HARTZMAN: This is Jared Hart Richardson representing the Sams And with me today is my colleag MR. MIRZAIE: This is Reza Mirzai	zman ung ue Thad
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22			16 17 18 19 20 21 22	from Fish & defendants. Kodish.  **Representing**	MR. HARTZMAN: This is Jared Hart Richardson representing the Sams And with me today is my colleag MR. MIRZAIE: This is Reza Mirzai g the plaintiff Headwater. With	zman ung ue Thad e me today
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23			16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	from Fish & defendants. Kodish.  representing is my collea	MR. HARTZMAN: This is Jared Hart Richardson representing the Sams And with me today is my colleag MR. MIRZAIE: This is Reza Mirzai g the plaintiff Headwater. With ague Jason Wietholter. Also from	zman ung ue Thad e me today
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22			16 17 18 19 20 21 22	from Fish & defendants. Kodish.  representing is my colleaclient is Gr	MR. HARTZMAN: This is Jared Hart Richardson representing the Sams And with me today is my colleag MR. MIRZAIE: This is Reza Mirzai g the plaintiff Headwater. With ague Jason Wietholter. Also from	zman ung ue Thad e me today i the

17 (Pages 62 to 65)

```
Page 62
                                                                                                                 Page 64
     you -- can you answer the question? That's --
 1
                                                                1
                                                                    about it.
 2
     that's -- that's the line I'm drawing as your
                                                                2
                                                                               Did Vincent Knoll join you at Headwater?
                                                                         Q.
 3
                                                                3
     counsel.
                                                                         Α.
 4
     BY THE WITNESS:
                                                                4
                                                                               He staved at Qualcomm?
                                                                         Q.
 5
                                                                5
          Α
                My best guess would be yes, I did talk to
                                                                               Correct
 6
     at least maybe one person, if not more.
                                                                6
                                                                               Is he still at Qualcomm?
 7
          Q.
                Do you recall who that one person was?
                                                                7
                                                                         A.
                                                                               Correct.
                                                                8
 8
          A.
                Yeah, my best guess would be VK Jones.
                                                                         Q.
                                                                               What knowledge or experience do you
 9
          Q.
                Did you say DK or VK?
                                                                9
                                                                    believe you had to help you get a job at Headwater?
                VK. His name is Vincent Knoll Jones.
                                                               10
                                                                               I guess my knowledge of wireless LAN, my
10
          A.
11
                You said Vincent Knoll?
                                                               11
                                                                    knowledge of data networking, and the fact I was
12
                Knoll, K-N-O-L-L. It stands for VK.
                                                               12
                                                                    involved in innovations and solutions in general,
13
                Who else at Qualcomm other than attorneys
                                                               13
                                                                    wireless solutions.
14
     would you have told about your work for Headwater
                                                               14
                                                                         a
                                                                               Why do you believe that expertise would
15
     before you left Qualcomm?
                                                               15
                                                                    have been useful at Headwater?
16
                Sorry. Attorneys? I didn't talk to any
                                                               16
                                                                               I felt it was useful, but I wasn't sure
          A.
17
                                                               17
                                                                    that it was adequate because Headwater was pretty
     attornevs.
18
          Q.
                                                               18
                                                                    broad in many technologies, but it was useful
                No. Besides attorneys?
                Oh. I don't -- I mean, I would be
                                                               19
19
          A.
                                                                    because it covers -- it covered this segment of
20
     guessing. I don't remember.
                                                               20
                                                                    wireless communications, wireless LAN communication,
21
          Q.
                If you had to guess, who would you have
                                                               21
                                                                    anything to do with networking, things like that.
22
     contacted at Qualcomm other than attorneys?
                                                               22
                                                                    But it also intended to be much, much more broad
                MR. KAYS: Objection, calls for
23
                                                               23
                                                                    than just only was wireless communications. So it
     speculation. No foundation.
24
                                                               24
                                                                    was adequate to that degree. It wasn't
25
                                                               25
                                                                    necessarily -- it was reasonable to that degree, but
                                                  Page 63
                                                                                                                 Page 65
     BY THE WITNESS:
 1
                                                                1
                                                                    it wasn't necessarily adequate for everything that
 2
          A.
                Again, I would be guessing. Dave Johnson
                                                                2
                                                                    Headwater was going to try to do.
 3
     was already there.
                                                                3
                                                                               Before joining Headwater, were you aware
 4
          Q.
                Was Dave Johnson at Qualcomm before he
                                                                4
                                                                    that they were going to be doing work in wireless
 5
     left to join Headwater?
                                                                    local area network communication and networking?
 6
          A.
                Right.
                                                                6
                                                                               MR. MIRZAIE: Objection, form.
 7
                Did you work with Dave Johnson at
                                                                7
                                                                    BY THE WITNESS:
8
     Qualcomm before he left to work for Headwater?
                                                                8
                                                                               Of course. If I couldn't contribute to
9
          A.
                Not at Qualcomm. Again he -- yeah, not
                                                                9
                                                                    the work, then it makes no sense for me to even
                                                               10
                                                                    join. It had to be.
10
     at Qualcomm. He was in San Diego.
11
                Where did you work with Dave Johnson
                                                               11
                                                                               Prior to your work at Headwater, what
12
     before Headwater?
                                                               12
                                                                    experience did you have working with networking in
13
                I worked with Dave Johnson at Clarity
                                                               13
                                                                    smartphones or other smart devices?
          A.
14
     Wireless as well as Airgo networks.
                                                               14
                                                                         A.
                                                                               I really didn't have very much
15
                Okay. What did you tell Vincent Knoll
                                                               15
                                                                    experience. Again, I was mostly on the wireless
     about leaving Qualcomm to go Headwater before you
                                                                    communication -- primarily it was wireless LAN and
16
                                                               16
17
     left Qualcomm?
                                                               17
                                                                    media access control, which is lower layer, nothing
18
                MR. KAYS: No foundation.
                                                               18
                                                                    to do with applications.
19
     BY THE WITNESS:
                                                               19
                                                                         Q.
                                                                               What is media access control?
20
                I mean, obviously I explained to him the
                                                               20
                                                                               Media access control is a layer between
21
     exchange I had with Greg as far as this new company
                                                               21
                                                                    essentially layer 3 in the data networking, layer 3.
22
     and showed him that I'm excited to join him.
                                                               22
                                                                    Media access control is layer 2. Somewhere in
                                                                    between layer 3 and -- layer 1 is a physical layer,
23
          Q.
                Anything else?
                                                               23
24
                I must have also tried to excite him to
                                                               24
                                                                    which essentially sends the DAS communication over
25
     join with me, but that's all I can say. That's
                                                               25
                                                                    that physical layer. Layer 2 is the media access
```

18 (Pages 66 to 69)

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

office.

patent?

A.

mean, we were not in any litigation or anything.

Prosecution is just a term of art for lawyers when

forth with the patent office in terms of getting the

it comes to applying for patents with the patent

I see. I see where the confusion is.

So you were involved in the back and

Together with the patent lawyer and also

```
Page 66
                                                                                                                Page 68
 1
     control.
                                                               1
                                                                   many times with Greg involved, yeah.
 2
                                                               2
                                                                               And so you were reviewing claims for the
                When you say layer, are you talking about
 3
     OSI stack?
                                                               3
                                                                   various patent applications being filed while you
 4
                You could refer to that, yeah, exactly.
                                                               4
          A.
                                                                   were at Headwater?
 5
          Q.
                                                               5
                                                                               MR. MIRZAIE: I'll just go ahead and
                After joining Headwater as a consultant,
 6
     what would you say your role was?
                                                                6
                                                                   object to the extent that it calls for privileged
 7
          A.
                My role was to work closely with Greg in
                                                               7
                                                                   communications with your lawyers at the time on this
                                                               8
8
     creation of the innovations that we were -- this
                                                                   subject. You can answer yes or no to the question,
9
     organization Headwater was planning to do. Of
                                                               9
                                                                   were you reviewing claims for various patent
10
     course, primarily I worked with Headwater I and so I
                                                              10
                                                                   applications being filed.
11
     jumped in and started reviewing some of the work
                                                              11
                                                                   BY THE WITNESS:
12
     that Greg has done, which was extensive, and get in
                                                              12
                                                                        A.
                                                                               Right, I was reviewing, yes. Obviously,
13
     there and then obviously my work became more
                                                              13
                                                                   reviewing claims meaning broad claims, independent
14
     extensive as I worked with him closely for other
                                                              14
                                                                   claims, so many things.
15
                                                              15
                                                                               Aside from brainstorming, innovations,
     ideas.
16
                When you say Headwater I, are you
                                                              16
                                                                   and reviewing documents and interacting with the
17
     referring to Headwater Partners I?
                                                                   patent lawyers, did you have any other day-to-day
                                                              17
18
                                                                   tasks in your work for Headwater?
          Α.
                Right.
                                                              18
                                                              19
                                                                               No, I would say -- it was hard to
19
                So what would you say was your day-to-day
20
     role, was it just brainstorming with Greg Raleigh?
                                                              20
                                                                   distinguish because also since ItsOn or the
21
                MR. KAYS: Vague as to time.
                                                              21
                                                                   development team was also working on creation of an
22
     BY THE WITNESS:
                                                              22
                                                                    idea into a product, I was also involved in
                                                                    discussions with -- occasionally with designers.
23
                                                              23
          A.
                Brainstorming was one part of it, of
24
             Reviewing documents and interacting with
                                                              24
                                                                         Q.
                                                                               On the ItsOn side?
     course.
25
     the patent lawyers.
                                                              25
                                                                         A.
                                                                               Yeah, I would say I was, but I won't say
                                                 Page 67
                                                                                                                Page 69
          Q.
                                                                   that you can consider that as a Headwater work. It
 1
                For patent prosecution purposes?
                                                               1
 2
                First, for patent creation and then --
                                                               2
                                                                   was more of a support to ensure that -- that's the
 3
     and if there were issues came out of the patent
                                                               3
                                                                   kind of thing. Yeah, I worked on it. Primarily, my
 4
     office that there were concerns to review and take a
                                                                4
                                                                   focus was on Headwater doing -- working on the
 5
     look and see the concerns item -- the concern items.
                                                               5
                                                                   claims, patents, new innovations, working with
 6
     I also worked on making sure that the innovations
                                                                6
                                                                   patent lawyers, and dealing with stuff coming up out
 7
     can withstand on its own to be a new innovation. So
                                                               7
                                                                   of the PTO office, and No. 1 goal is to make all the
8
                                                               8
                                                                    independent claims as broad as possible. If we
     that reviewing and ensuring that -- working closely
9
     the patent lawyers that the claim text can stand on
                                                               9
                                                                   can't, then we go down more specific and see how far
                                                              10
10
     its own and, of course, like any creation of a
                                                                   we can get in.
11
     patent, trying to make sure that the claim is as
                                                              11
                                                                               When you say making independent claims as
12
     broad as can be so we can get best coverage.
                                                              12
                                                                   broad as possible, what do you mean by that?
13
                                                              13
                                                                               MR. MIRZAIE: I'll -- go ahead. I'll
          Q.
                And so you were involved in prosecuting
     your patents while working at Headwater?
14
                                                              14
                                                                    just -- go ahead.
15
                I don't know what you mean by
                                                              15
                                                                               MR. KAYS: Hold on, Ali.
16
                                                              16
                                                                               MR. MIRZAIE: Sorry about that. I'll go
     prosecuting. I wasn't prosecuting it with any -- I
```

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ahead and object to the extent that it's calling for

subject of communications that he had with patent

prosecution counsel at the time, and I think this

does get into that topic. So I'm going to instruct

what he meant by broad as possible without divulging

And specifically, if he could answer

privileged communications with counsel or the

anything he discussed with patent prosecution

not to answer based on that.

36 (Pages 138 to 141)

25

our -- the value that we had in it. We didn't want

### Page 138 Page 140 Right. And all throughout that whole that. We wanted -- he highlighted that and I 1 1 2 2 resonated, that that structure makes sense to me. process, you worked with Greg Raleigh, correct? 3 3 So I wanted to join him. A. Correct. 4 And I think you testified earlier today 4 Right. And I think you had testified Q. 5 5 earlier that maybe if it was someone else that that when you joined Headwater, when you first 6 joined Headwater, up to that point at Qualcomm, you 6 called you to leave Qualcomm --7 had never worked on app control. 7 A. Yeah. 8 8 Do you remember that? Q. -- you would disagree? Yeah. It would have to be Greg. Anyone 9 Correct. 9 A. 10 MR. HARTZMAN: Objection, misstates else probably I would just think of it as another 10 11 testimony. 11 recruiter is trying to get my attention and I would 12 BY MR. MIRZAIE: 12 just ignore it. 13 Q. You had never worked on app or mobile app 13 But for Greg -- well, in your opinion 14 access to network resources at Qualcomm before that 14 working with Greg for so long, Greg is a great 15 15 innovator, correct? point, correct? Oh, totally. No doubt in my mind. He's 16 A. Correct. I never worked on it. 16 17 Q. You hadn't worked on determining whether 17 one of the -- as far as innovation, he's unique. I an app is in the background or the foreground and worked with a lot of people, and he's amongst the 18 18 19 what kind of connection it would have, correct? 19 top ones, if not top. I work with a few here, and 20 A. Correct. 20 he's amongst that. 21 Q. In fact, up to that point, you hadn't 21 Q. You have worked with dozens of people, if 22 heard of device assisted services, correct? 22 not hundreds, at Qualcomm and other companies, Correct. I would say correct, yeah. 23 23 A. correct? Q. And so if Samsung were to tell the jury Yeah. I mean, I'm involved IEEE standard 24 24 A. 25 that these Headwater inventions were all conceived 25 activity, which is a pool of brain in innovation of Page 139 Page 141 wireless and wireless LAN. So many individuals. So 1 prior to leaving Qualcomm, that would be absolutely 1 I know -- I mean, from my perspective, Greg is still 2 false, right? 2 3 MR. HARTZMAN: Objection. Vague and 3 on top to me -- for me because I really enjoyed 4 leading. 4 working with him. 5 BY THE WITNESS: 5 Q. Why do you consider Greg one of the top 6 A. From my perspective, it would be false 6 innovators? 7 because I don't know anything about it. 7 A. Well, his brain works -- he's very bright. His brain works uniquely the way he 8 8 Q. From your perspective, I believe you 9 testified earlier that when Greg had called you, you 9 approaches a problem. were -- Strike that. 10 Q. How so? 10 I think he also -- I'm hoping he also 11 When Greg contacted you about 11 12 discussing ItsOn, you two had either one or two 12 thought that I'm able to stay at his same pace, at 13 meeting or lunches, do you recall that? 13 least at the same pace, maybe not exactly the same MR. HARTZMAN: Objection, vague. 14 14 pace. So he approached me and found that I could be 15 BY THE WITNESS: 15 actually a good contributor and together we can just 16 A. Again, it was -- I think -- I know it was 16 make things happen. That's the reason he approached 17 Headwater first was doing more of the innovation 17 me, and I enjoyed working with him. 18 work, and again, the structure appealed to me 18 When he did approach you, you were I 19 19 because the structure and the plan was to create think to quote your earlier testimony excited to 20 innovation and also have companies use that 20 join, fair? innovation to make a product. 21 21 Yeah. Of course after he explained what A. 22 The distaste we had was that when we 22 he was planning to do, it got me excited, yeah. 23 were acquired by Qualcomm, our technology was taken, 23 After you had joined, you also did some 24 and it wasn't really used properly in a sense of in 24 related work for the early development of a company

called ItsOn that we discussed earlier today.